

## Congregational Responses

1. Strongly supportive. Concerns about parking and 'fitting it all in'
2. Supportive. Concerns about vehicular access to hall
3. Very supportive. Need to be architecturally sympathetic
4. Opposed. Everything's fine as it is.
5. Supportive no concerns
6. Supportive. Needs to be 'green'
7. Very supportive. Wants hall connected to church
8. Opposed. Prefer to develop current hall
9. Dubious. Prefers improving current hall
10. Supportive. Attend to how hall serves community
11. Supportive. 'Exciting'
12. Supportive. Concerns about parking
13. Uncertain. Can't we do up current hall? Is there space for proposals?
14. Unconvinced. Isn't vicarage OK? Is diocese 'using' us? Is there space for proposals?
15. Unconvinced. Isn't vicarage OK? Can't we turn the entire garden into a car park?
16. Supportive. But isn't the vicarage OK? Concern about North door wind.
17. Opposed. Things are fine as they are. Supports opening north door.
18. Opposed. Things OK as they are.
19. Dubious. New hall would need to be the same as the old one. Concern about the garden.
20. Very supportive. Look after the trees.
21. Very supportive. Desire to retain attractive garden.
22. Very supportive. Might look at what we do with whole church not just vicarage and hall.
23. Supportive. Questions about connected hall and details of process.

Share the vicar's vision share	Some uncertainty	Do not share
14 (plus the 15 members of PCC)	5	4

Very small numbers all-round from an electoral roll of 200+ and usual weekly attendance of 120+

Clear weight of expressed opinion is supportive.

What does the silence mean?

Are others waiting for detailed concrete proposals rather than engage with 'vision'?